Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/042,666

Almantas Galvanauskas et al.

Examiner

Art Unit



	John D. Lee	2874	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
(1) John D. Lee (Examiner)	(3)		
(2) Stan Torgavitsky (Atty)			
Date of Interview Sep 11, 2002			
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	t 2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description	on:	
			<u>-</u>
Claim(s) discussed: 31-33			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
US Patent 6,154,310 (of record)			
Substance of Interview including description of the gene any other comments: <u>Attorney submitted that the basis for the double patential claims 31-33. Examiner agreed to issue a supplemental restarting the 3 month period for response.</u>	ng rejection (obviousness type) was	s not clear wit	h respect to
			
<u>-</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See No. 1)	o copy of the amendments that wo parate record of the substance of the SMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LA	ould render the he interview (ST OFFICE A	e claims allowable is if box is checked).
already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH F SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Rec	ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	ILE A STATE	MENT OF THE

JOHN D. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 2874**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.